

Evaluating the Economic Burden of Polycythemia Vera Across the Disease Continuum: A Systematic Literature Review

EE441

Aggarwal S¹, Nahan N¹, Kamboj G¹, Torelli F², Empson V³, Serra-Monraba C³, Rath i H¹, Andersen C⁴, Hasselbalch H⁵

¹Skyward Analytics Private Limited, Gurugram, India; ²AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Milan, Italy; ³AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Wien, Austria; ⁴Department of Hematology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen; ⁵University of Copenhagen, Sjællands Universitetshospital, Roskilde, Denmark

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

- Polycythemia vera (PV) is a rare and chronic myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN), characterized by hematopoietic stem cell-derived clonal myeloproliferation, which results in erythrocytosis and often thrombocytosis, leukocytosis, and splenomegaly¹
- PV imposes a significant economic burden on patients and healthcare systems due to high inpatient/outpatient costs, further exacerbated due to occurrence of complications and disease progression to myelofibrosis (MF) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML)²
- There is currently no systematic literature review (SLR) that consolidates the economic impact of PV symptoms, complications, or disease progression. Thus, this SLR aims to fill this gap by identifying relevant evidence on PV costs, and healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) data, providing insights that can guide decision making

METHODS

- This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines, searched records from 2010 to 2025 in Medline, Embase and Cochrane Library, supplemented by grey literature and bibliographic review
- Data were extracted using standardized extraction grid and synthesized narratively due to heterogeneity. The quality of the studies and publications was evaluated using standard appraisal tools
- To improve comparability, the costs were adjusted to 2024 euros using the inflation rate as of December 2024. Thus, they may not reflect the reported values in the publications

RESULTS

- Thirty studies reported in 55 of 2,534 identified records were included (**Figure 1**)
- Most studies were conducted in North America (n=10), followed by Europe (n=7), Asia (n=7), and one study each in Australia and Canada. Four studies were conducted across multiple countries. Studies reported direct and indirect costs, HCRU, and absenteeism
- Baseline characteristics indicated a mean (range) patient age of nearly 60 years (42–71).
- Major cost drivers in PV were presence of complications, need for hospitalizations, high drug cost, and disease progression to MF/AML (**Figure 2**)

Direct costs

- Overall, the studies reporting total direct costs were heterogenous in terms of population assessed, cost year used, and geographical distribution (**Table 1**)
- Patients with thromboembolic events incurred 2-3-fold higher total direct costs compared to those without thromboembolic events, whereby inpatient costs was the major contributor of this difference (**Figure 3**). This was supported by high resource use in patients with complications due to need for hospitalization^{3,4,5}
- Hydroxyurea (HU)-intolerant patients incurred higher costs compared with HU-stable patients; the total cost ratio was 2.65, with hospitalization costs being the major component, suggesting worse outcomes requiring increased monitoring in HU-intolerant patients⁶
- A longitudinal cost analysis reported that, over five years, the mean annual costs for treating PV patients without pre-existing MF and AML, increased from €18,682 to €20,259, indicating that the economic burden of managing PV increased over time. The analyses also indicated that high-risk patients incurred 59.9% higher total annual costs than low-risk patients (**Figure 4**)⁷
- Two economic evaluations identified ropegIFN α as a cost-effective treatment in low-risk PV⁸, and in both low- and high-risk PV⁹. The post-PV MF and AML total annual costs in low-risk PV patients were €1,787 and €304 in ropegIFN α group, and €2,553 and €362 for phlebotomy group, respectively⁸

Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram




Figure 2: Major cost drivers in PV




Figure 3: Total direct costs (with vs. w/o complications)




Table 1. Characteristics of studies reporting direct costs

Study name (Country)	Population	Currency, Cost year	Population characteristics	Resource use
Chien, 2025 ⁷ (US)	PV	US\$, 2024	PV patients without pre-existing MF and AML	NR
Walter, 2025 ⁸ (Austria)	Low-risk PV	€, 2024	Low-risk PV patients (those younger than 60 years without prior thrombosis); ropegIFN α to phlebotomy compared to phlebotomy alone	NR
Yu, 2025 ¹⁸ (US)	PV with TE	US\$, 2021	Patients with predefined TEs with a mean (SD) CCI score of 3.2 (2.3)	LOS: 7 (9) days
Cabibbo, 2024 ¹⁶ (Italy)	PV	€, NR	Patients treated with erythrocytapheresis or phlebotomy over a four-year period. 55% patients had splenomegaly	Total number of procedures: Erythrocytapheresis: 28; Phlebotomy: 320
Ellis, 2024 ⁵ (Israel)	PV - HU stable/ intolerant	NR*	PV patients who were HU-intolerant (cytopenia; alternative treatment (busulfan, IFN- α or ruxolitinib) or HU-stable (remained on continuous HU treatment)	HU-Stable/ intolerant: Hospitalizations: 3.8 (3.5)/6.5 (5.4) LOS: 17.6 (24.6)/ 35.1 (33.3) days Phlebotomies: 6.9 (8.0)/9.8 (9.5)
Ngo, 2024 ¹⁴ (Australia)	PV	AUS, NR	Cost-funding analysis; patients who received at least 2 sessions of erythrocytapheresis or venesection	No. of sessions in 1 st year of treatment*: Erythrocytapheresis: 3.8 (3.3-4.4) Venesection: 5.3 (4.6-6.0)
Yu, 2024 ⁵ (US)	PV Subgroup: PV with TE	US\$, 2019	Patients with at least 1 medical claim for a doctor visit. Subgroup of patients with a TE during the 12-month follow-up period	PV: STD: 4.5 (24.6) days; LTD: 1.2 (16.1) days PV with TE: STD: 13 (37.7) days; LTD: 4.8 (30.8) days
Gerds, 2023 ⁹ (US)	PV	US\$, 2022	PV patients including 22.7% low-risk and 77.3% high-risk patients; ropegIFN α used as first- or second-line treatment	NR
Darbà, 2022 ¹⁷ (Spain)	PV	€, NR	Hospitalized patients presenting age-related conditions such as hypertension, diabetes or anemia	LOS, Median (95% CI): 7 (6-7) days
Bankar, 2020 ¹³ (Canada)	PV	CDN\$, 2018	PV patients with some developing thrombosis (arterial or venous) at any time within 2 years before the index event date	ER; hospitalization visits: 1.2; 5.2/PY Specialist; GP visits: 7.5; 6.6/PY Long-term; Home care: 10.7; 12.5/PY
Komatsu, 2020 ⁴ (Japan)	PV with/without TE	¥, NR	PV patients managed in a hospital-based care setting with TE and CVC as the major risk factor	PV with/without TE: LOS: 31.5 (51.8)/9.4 (27.3) days Admission rate (95% CI)/PV: 0.43 (0.35, 0.51)/ 0.21 (0.19, 0.24)
Ulanja, 2020 ¹¹ (US)	PV with/without TE	US\$, 2016	Survey of patients from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database who were hospitalized in 2016	NR
Katiyar, 2019 ¹² (US)	PV with/without VTE	US\$, NR	Patients with a record of hospitalization majorly non-VTE related (95.8%)	LOS; Median (with/without TE): 5/4 days
Parasarman, 2018 ³ (US)	PV Subgroup: PV with TE	US\$, 2013	PV patients newly treated with HU. Subgroup of patients with a TE within 12 months of HU initiation.	PV (with/without TE) Inpatient admissions: 1.7 (1.2)/1.3 (0.7); LOS/admission: 5.9 (4.1)/ 6.1 (6.1) ER visits: 1.9 (1.4)/ 1.7 (1.4) Physician office visits: 18.9 (8.1)/14.1 (7.7) OP pharmacy claims: 45.8 (27.3)/36.2 (25.9)
Byun, 2017 ¹⁸ (Korea)	PV	US\$, 2004-2013	Nationwide population-based descriptive epidemiology study	Total number of hospital visits (2004-2013): 1,68,726
Mehta, 2014 ¹⁹ (US)	PV	US\$, 2010	PV patients with mean (SD) CCI of 1.24 (1.84)	Admissions/LOS: 0.27 (1.0)/ 1.67 (7.9) days OP claims: 61.9 (63.7); Physician/ ER visits: 30.6 (31.9)/0.8 (2.6)

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CCI, charlson comorbidity index; CI, confidence intervals; CVC, cardiovascular complications; ER, emergency room; GP, general practitioner; HU, hydroxyurea; IFN, interferon; LOS, length of stay; LTD, long-term disability; MF, myelofibrosis; NR, not reported; OP, outpatient; PV, polycythemia vera; PY, person year; STD, short-term disability; TE, thromboembolic event; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

Note: Values are presented as mean (SD), unless specified otherwise.*Cost ratio; *Mean (95% CI).

Figure 4: Total direct costs adjusted to Euros, 2024




Figure 5: Trend in HCRU pre-and post-diagnosis²⁰





Figure 6: WPAI scores in PV patients



CONCLUSIONS

PV imposes a substantial economic burden. Despite study variability, costs are largely driven by disease progression, complications, and treatment resistance incurring high inpatient costs. Earlier diagnosis and therapies with proven, disease-modifying effect may reduce long-term healthcare costs. More studies are warranted to assess the indirect costs associated with PV to understand the full societal burden of PV

Poster presented at ISPOR EUROPE 2025, Glasgow, Scotland (09-12 Nov 2025)

REFERENCES: 1. BMJ Best Practice. Polycythaemia vera., 2024 2. Kiladjan, J. J. et al. Blood112, 3065-3072 (2008) 3. Parasarman SV, et al. J Manag Care Spec Pharm 24, 47-55 (2018) 4. Komatsu N, et al. Int J Hematol 112, 176-184 (2020) 5. Yu, J. et al. Leuk Lymphoma 65, 1153-1160 (2024) 6. Ellis, M. H. et al. J Clin Med 13 (2024) 7. Chien, H. L. et al. Value in Health 28, S147 (2025) 8. Walter, E. et al. Ann Hematol 104, 219-229 (2025) 9. Gerds, A. T. et al. J Comp Eff Res 12, e230066 (2023) 10. Page, M. J. et al. BMJ 372, n71 (2021) 11. Ulanja M.B. et al. Thromb Res 194, 72-81 (2020) 12. Katiyar, V. et al. TH Open 3, e203-e209 (2019) 13. Bankar, A. et al. Leuk Lymphoma 61, 1908-1919 (2020) 14. Ngo, T. Q. et al. Intern Med J 54, 909-915 (2024) 15. Yu J, et al. Oncologist 30 (2025) 16. Cabibbo, S. et al. Hematol Transfus Cell Ther 46, 393-396 (2024) 17. Darbà, J. et al. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 22, 965-970 (2022) 18. Byun, J. M. et al. Korean J Intern Med 37, 444-454 (2022) 19. Mehta J, et al. Leuk Lymphoma 55, 2368-2374 (2014) 20. Christensen, S. F. et al. Eur J Haematol 109, 526-541 (2022) 21. Yu J, et al. BMC Cancer 18, 420 (2018) 22. Mesa R, et al. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 18, 590-596 (2018) 23. Harrison CN, et al. Ann Hematol 96, 1653-1665 (2017)